

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

3 No. 1:04-cr-10231-MLW-1

4  
5 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

6  
7 vs.

8  
9 PETER V. MAGGIO, III, et al

10  
11  
12 \*\*\*\*\*

13  
14 For Hearing Before:  
Chief Judge Mark L. Wolf

15  
16 Sentencing Hearing, Day III

17  
18 United States District Court  
District of Massachusetts (Boston.)  
One Courthouse Way  
19 Boston, Massachusetts 02210  
20 Monday, November 20, 2006

21 \*\*\*\*\*

22  
REPORTER: RICHARD H. ROMANOW, RPR

23 Official Court Reporter  
United States District Court  
24 One Courthouse Way, Room 5200, Boston, MA 02210  
(617) 737-0370  
25

2

1 A P P E A R A N C E S

2

3 VICTOR A. WILD, ESQ.  
United States Attorney's Office  
4 John Joseph Moakley Federal Courthouse  
1 Courthouse Way, Suite 9200  
5 Boston, Massachusetts 02210  
(617) 748-3145  
6 Email: Victor.wild@usdoj.gov  
For the United States of America  
7

8 JAMES M. MERBERG, ESQ.  
Law Office of James M. Merberg  
9 66 Long Wharf, Suite 4  
Boston, Massachusetts 02110  
10 (617) 723-1990  
Email: Jbrb127@aol.com  
11 For Peter V. Maggio, III

12  
ELLIOT M. WEINSTEIN, ESQ.  
13 83 Atlantic Avenue  
Boston, Massachusetts 02110  
14 (617) 367-9334  
Email: Elliot@eweinsteinlaw.com  
15 For William A. Howe

16  
ROGER WITKIN, ESQ.  
17 6 Beacon Street, Suite 1010  
Boston, Massachusetts 02108  
18 (617) 523-0027  
Email: Roger@rogerwitkin.com  
19 For Matt A. Havey

20

SCOTT P. LOPEZ, ESQ.

21 24 School Street, 8th Floor

Boston, Massachusetts 02108

22 (617) 742-5700

Email: Lopez@lopezlaw.com

23 For Louis A. Paradiso

24

25 (Continued.)

3

1 (Continued.)

2

3 WILLIAM M. WHITE, JR., ESQ.

Davis, Robinson & White, LLP

4 One Fanueil Hall Marketplace

South Market Place

5 Boston, Massachusetts 02109-1649

(617) 723-7339

6 Email: Wmwlaw1@aol.com

For Sean Sacco

7

8 JOSEPH S. OTERI, ESQ.

Oteri, Weinberg & Lawson

9 Statler Building

20 Park Plaza, Suite 905

10 Boston, Massachusetts 02116

(617) 227-3700

11 Email: Lroseowl@att.net

For Michael R. O'Neill

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

1 PROCEEDINGS

2 (Begins, 3:00 p.m.)

3 THE CLERK: Criminal 04-10231, the United

4 States versus Peter Maggio, et al. The Court is in

5 session. You may be seated.

6 THE COURT: Good afternoon. Would counsel

7 please identify themselves for the record.

8 MR. WILD: Good afternoon, your Honor. Victor

9 A. Wild for the Government. And with leave from the

10 Court, may I continue to have Special Agent Scott

11 Robbins at counsel table?

12 THE COURT: Yes.

13 MR. WILD: Thank you.

14 MR. WHITE: Good afternoon, your Honor.

15 William White on behalf of Mr. Sacco.

16 MR. MERBERG: Good afternoon. James Merberg

17 on behalf of Peter Maggio.

18 MR. LOPEZ: Good afternoon, your Honor. Scott

19 Lopez on behalf of Louis Paradiso.

20 MR. WEINSTEIN: Good afternoon, your Honor.

21 Elliot Weinstein representing William Howe.

22 MR. OTERI: Good afternoon, your Honor.

23 Joseph Oteri for Mr. O'Neill.

24 MR. WITKIN: Good afternoon, your Honor.

25 Roger Witkin on behalf of Mr. Havey.

5

1 THE COURT: Okay. Each of the defendants is  
2 present.

3 Since we suspended, 5:00 on Friday, I've given a  
4 great deal of thought to the matters presented. I'm  
5 going to proceed as follows. I'm going to announce the  
6 sentence that I'm imposing on each defendant -- and I'll  
7 go through all six of them before I explain my reasons  
8 in order to alleviate any avoidable suspense, and then  
9 I'll go back and explain individually the reasons for

10 each of the sentences. I'll tell the six defendants now  
11 that they each have a right to appeal my sentence within  
12 10 days of entry of judgment. If you would like to do  
13 so but can't afford a lawyer, a lawyer will be appointed  
14 to represent you at public expense.

15 In essence, I followed the process prescribed by  
16 the First Circuit in Jimenez Beltray. As you know, I've  
17 spent good parts of three days calculating the guideline  
18 ranges for each defendant and I've given the guidelines  
19 appropriate weight. I've then decided whether a  
20 traditional departure is justified. Finally, I've  
21 considered the Section 3553(a) factors in the direction  
22 that the sentence be sufficient but no more than  
23 necessary to comply with the Section 3553(a) purposes of  
24 sentencing. Ultimately I'm imposing a sentence that I  
25 find to be most reasonable.

6

1 Each of the defendants should stand.

2 Well, actually before we do that, with regard to  
3 Mr. Maggio, I've decided that no departure or variance  
4 under the Section 3553(a) factors is justified. I fully  
5 recognize that Mr. Maggio has energetically attempted to  
6 cooperate with the government in several

7 investigations. No 5(k) motion has been filed in part  
8 because he committed other crimes while cooperating,  
9 including, I believe, the crimes in this case while he  
10 was cooperating in the Cerino case that was before me.  
11 I also fully accept that Mr. Maggio is bipolar and that  
12 that may have influenced in some way his conduct,  
13 although I note that many people are bipolar and they  
14 don't repetitively engage in massive frauds. And I  
15 appreciate and regret that there are poignant family  
16 circumstances here, that Mrs. Maggio has cancer which  
17 may prove to be fatal and that Mr. Maggio's daughters  
18 are suffering severe emotional distress. This  
19 combination of factors in some cases would justify a  
20 downward departure or variance. However, under Section  
21 5(k)2.0 of the guidelines, which quotes Section 3553(b)  
22 of the statute, "A judge may depart only if  
23 extraordinary circumstances should result in a sentence  
24 different from that prescribed by the guidelines." I  
25 believe that, generally speaking, this same concept

1 applies to Booker variances.

2 In this case, Mr. Maggio was the mastermind and  
3 the engine of a widespread scheme that defrauded various

4 institutions of more than 15 million dollars. As part  
5 of that, he drew in some naive, vulnerable co-defendants  
6 who never would have committed a Federal crime, let  
7 alone a massive fraud -- and I don't mean all of his  
8 co-defendants, but particularly with regard to  
9 Mr. Paradiso, Mr. Sacco and Mr. Havey, people who have  
10 ended up in Federal court after about five or six years  
11 of anxiety with their lives substantially injured, if  
12 not ruined. So in view of the seriousness of the  
13 offense, the effect on others, and the need to serve the  
14 purposes I'll describe when I get to the reasons for the  
15 particular sentence, the motion for downward departure  
16 is denied.

17 Mr. Maggio, please stand.

18 (Mr. Maggio stands.)

19 THE COURT: For reasons that I will describe  
20 after I've announced the sentence for your  
21 co-defendants, I hereby sentence you to serve 98 months  
22 in the custody of the Attorney General of the United  
23 States, to be followed by 36 months of supervised  
24 release. I'm not imposing a fine because I find you  
25 cannot pay a fine, even in installments. In part,



1 because I'm ordering you to pay \$15,731,860 as  
2 restitution and there's an \$1100 mandatory special  
3 assessment.

4 The restitution shall be paid in the amounts of  
5 \$8,552,393 to New Holland Credit, \$4,963,832 to CIT  
6 Group, or National Union on its behalf, \$901,129 to  
7 General Electric Capital, \$476,000 to Volvo Commercial,  
8 \$118,000 to Orix Credit Alliance, \$72,479 to Greentree  
9 Financial, \$305,376 to O'Connor GMC, \$266,307 to U.S.  
10 Bank Corp., \$6,081 to Eastern Bank Financial, and  
11 \$69,817 to Caterpillar Financial Services.

12 Your supervised release will be on the standard  
13 conditions and on the additional conditions that you not  
14 possess a firearm or other dangerous weapon. That you  
15 pay the restitution according to a repayment schedule  
16 that I will order. You may not incur any new charges or  
17 open any additional lines of credit without the approval  
18 of the Probation Officer. You must provide the  
19 Probation Officer access to any requested financial  
20 information and that information may be shared with the  
21 Financial Litigation Unit of the U.S. Attorney's  
22 office. In addition, you shall participate in a mental  
23 health treatment program as directed by the Probation

24 Office and contribute to the costs of that treatment to  
25 the extent you have ability to pay or insurance.

9

1 You may be seated for the moment.

2 MR. MAGGIO: Thank you.

3 (Mr. Maggio is seated.)

4 THE COURT: Mr. Howe, please stand.

5 (Mr. Howe stands.)

6 THE COURT: For the reasons that I'll describe  
7 after I impose sentence on your co-defendants, I hereby  
8 sentence you to serve 21 months in the custody of the  
9 Attorney General of the United States to be followed by  
10 36 months supervised release. I'm not imposing a fine  
11 because I find you cannot pay a fine, even in  
12 installments.

13 I am, however, ordering you to pay restitution in  
14 the amount of \$4,282,200, and, in addition, there's an  
15 \$800 special assessment.

16 With regard to your supervised release, it, too,  
17 is on the standard conditions and on the additional  
18 conditions that you not possess a firearm or any  
19 dangerous weapon, that you pay the restitution to the  
20 parties and on a schedule that I will order. You, too,

21 may not incur any new credit charges or open any  
22 additional lines of credit without the approval of the  
23 Probation Officer. You should provide the Probation  
24 Officer access to any request of financial information,  
25 and that information may be shared with the Financial

10

1 Litigation Unit of the U.S. Attorney's office.

2 And, in fact, those are conditions that are being  
3 imposed on all six of the defendants today. I won't  
4 repeat them each time. You may be seated.

5 (Mr. Howe is seated.)

6 THE COURT: Mr. O'Neill, please stand.

7 (Mr. O'Neill stands.)

8 THE COURT: For reasons that I will describe,  
9 I hereby sentence you also to serve 21 months in the  
10 custody of the Attorney General of the United States, to  
11 be followed by 36 months of supervised release.

12 You shall pay restitution in the amount of  
13 \$1,813,820 to the National Union Fire Insurance Company  
14 on behalf of CIT. Your duty to pay restitution begins  
15 immediately and will continue during your supervised  
16 release. You are also ordered to pay a fine of \$7,500  
17 after the restitution is paid. In addition, there's a

18 \$400 mandatory special assessment.

19 And as I said, the special conditions are as I  
20 described them a moment ago for Mr. Howe. And if I  
21 haven't mentioned it, there's also a \$400 special  
22 assessment.

23 Mr. O'Neill, you may be seated for now.

24 (Mr. O'Neill is seated.)

25 THE COURT: Mr. Paradiso, please stand.

11

1 (Mr. Paradiso stands.)

2 THE COURT: Mr. Paradiso, I hereby sentence  
3 you to serve 12 months and 1 day in the custody of the  
4 Attorney General of the United States, to be followed by  
5 36 months of supervised release on the conditions I  
6 described earlier. I'm not imposing a fine, because I  
7 find you cannot pay a fine, even in installments.

8 I am, however, ordering you to pay restitution of  
9 \$1,792,849 and a \$500 special assessment.

10 The conditions of your supervised release are as I  
11 described earlier.

12 In addition, all of the defendants are being  
13 ordered to give DNA samples.

14 You may be seated for now.

15 (Mr. Paradiso is seated.)

16 THE COURT: Mr. Sacco, please stand.

17 (Mr. Sacco stands.)

18 THE COURT: Mr. Sacco, I hereby sentence you

19 to serve 24 months probation. I'm not imposing a fine

20 because I find you cannot pay a fine, even in

21 installments.

22 There is, however, \$420,878 in restitution and a

23 \$200 special assessment.

24 And your special conditions are as I described

25 earlier concerning Mr. Howe.

12

1 You may be seated for now.

2 (Mr. Sacco is seated.)

3 THE COURT: Mr. Havey, please stand.

4 (Mr. Havey stands.)

5 THE COURT: I've been advised by Probation

6 earlier today that if a 15 month sentence was imposed,

7 the Bureau of Prisons would order your release on

8 December 12, 2006. So I hereby sentence you to serve 15

9 months or the equivalent of 15 months in the custody of

10 the Attorney General, but I'm ordering that you be

11 released -- well, perhaps I should put it this way. I'm

12 ordering that you continue to be in the custody of the  
13 Attorney General until December 12, 2006, at which time  
14 you'll be released. That's the equivalent of a 15 month  
15 sentence. I've been informed that the Bureau of Prisons  
16 would not designate Mr. Havey and they would inform the  
17 Marshal service to hold him until December 12, when he'd  
18 be released from Plymouth. So he got a 15 month  
19 sentence, but this will eliminate the risks of confusion  
20 and some additional work.

21 There's 36 months of supervised release on the  
22 conditions that I've described for Mr. Howe and, in  
23 addition, you shall participate in a program for  
24 substance abuse counseling, as directed by the United  
25 States Probation Office, which program may include

13

1 testing up to 104 times a year, to determine whether  
2 you've used alcohol or drugs. You shall pay for the  
3 cost of those testing and treatment services to the  
4 extent you have the ability to pay or insurance.

5 You may be seated.

6 (Mr. Havey is seated.)

7 MR. WILD: Your Honor, the Court did not  
8 announce either restitution or a special assessment.

9 THE COURT: Thank you. For Mr. Havey?

10 MR. WILD: Yes, for Mr. Havey.

11 THE COURT: I'm sorry.

12 (Mr. Havey stands, again.)

13 THE COURT: With regard to restitution,

14 Mr. Havey shall pay \$437,104 and a \$300 special

15 assessment. I'm not imposing a fine because I find he

16 cannot pay a fine, even in installments.

17 Mr. Maggio, please stand. Mr. Havey, you may be

18 seated.

19 (Mr. Havey is seated.)

20 (Mr. Maggio stands.)

21 THE COURT: I've imposed a 98 month sentence

22 on you. That is within the guideline range. It is,

23 however, longer than the low end sentence the government

24 recommended. But the reason for that is that while

25 there are competing considerations in your case,

14

1 including the considerations that, as I've said, might,

2 in other circumstances, have justified a departure or a

3 variance, someone deserves more than the low end

4 sentence when there's a range that's found to be usually

5 reasonable, and even with the other things you have

6 going for you, you deserve more than a low end sentence.

7 You've committed a very serious offense. Your  
8 motive was greed. You've committed this offense after  
9 you've been caught committing other offenses, or you  
10 continued to commit this offense after you've been  
11 caught committing other offenses. You may have been  
12 bipolar, I accept that you were, but you weren't  
13 incompetent. And, in fact, you demonstrated a real  
14 capacity to operate. And as a result, there were real  
15 victims.

16 There are institutions who aren't likely to get  
17 their 15 million dollars back, certainly not from you,  
18 and you've got co-defendants, like Mr. Sacco and  
19 Mr. Paradiso and Mr. Havey, particularly, who you've  
20 lured into this scheme. You told them that, in a worst  
21 case scenario, if your fraudulent business didn't work  
22 out -- although you didn't explain to them it was  
23 fraudulent at the outset, but if your fraudulent  
24 business didn't work out, they'd just have to declare  
25 bankruptcy.

15

1 They've had a miserable five or six years. For  
2 some reason it took the government until 2004 to indict



3 this case. They've had this hanging over them. It  
4 severely injured if not ruined their lives. And  
5 frankly, I don't have confidence that you've got the  
6 message. This is, I think, the fourth time that you've  
7 been sentenced by somebody.

8 I find that 98 months is the most appropriate  
9 sentence in view of all of the Section 3553(a) factors.  
10 I'm not going to recite all of them with regard to each  
11 defendant, although I've spent hours since Friday  
12 thinking about them and many hours before that thinking  
13 about them. However, with regard to Mr. Maggio, a  
14 sentence of 98 months is necessary to reflect the  
15 seriousness of the crime you've committed, the  
16 devastation that you've inflicted. It's necessary to  
17 try again to send you a message. When you get out, as  
18 I've said, since you showed uncommon resistance to  
19 getting the message earlier, committing crimes while you  
20 were cooperating with the government, I think a serious  
21 sentence is necessary to try again to deliver that  
22 message to you.

23 It's also very important to serve the interest of  
24 general deterrence, the importance of discouraging  
25 others from engaging in similar conduct. You know,

1 white collar crimes, which are clearly not victimless  
2 crimes, are crimes of calculation, and I hope that  
3 anybody who hears about this sentence will realize it's  
4 a miscalculation, or that other potential masterminds  
5 will resist the temptation to use their talents to  
6 defraud people of money because they hear that you got  
7 98 months. And it's 98 months that's intended to run  
8 after all your other sentences expire. It's not my  
9 intention that it be concurrent with any time you've  
10 gotten in any other case.

11 I considered Mr. Deveau's cooperation in sentence,  
12 he got a year and a day, and he was a very serious and  
13 significant player in this scheme. But you're the only  
14 person in the scheme more culpable than Mr. Deveau. So  
15 the fact that he cooperated and got a lower sentence is  
16 not something that I find should benefit you.

17 You may be seated.

18 (Mr. Maggio is seated.)

19 MR. MERBERG: Your Honor, before we go to the  
20 other matters -- and I don't know if you want me to do  
21 this seriatim or not, but he's now serving time on --

22 THE COURT: I don't want to do this now. When

23 I finish, I'll see if there are other matters to be  
24 addressed.

25 MR. MERBERG: All right, your Honor.

17

1 THE COURT: Mr. Howe, please stand.

2 (Mr. Howe stands.)

3 THE COURT: I've imposed on you a 21 month  
4 sentence. The guideline range for your sentence was 33  
5 to 41 months and the government recommended a 33 month  
6 sentence, while you were seeking a sentence of home  
7 confinement. I've given you a 21 month sentence as a  
8 departure based on your exceptional, and I would say,  
9 extraordinary good works. This is a departure pursuant  
10 to Section 5(h)(1.11) of the guidelines. It is not a  
11 Section 3553(a) variance. Although I would vary, to  
12 this extent, if it were necessary to do that. However,  
13 despite those exceptional extraordinary good works, for  
14 the reasons I'll explain, a substantial term of  
15 imprisonment, rather than home confinement, is necessary  
16 and appropriate in your case.

17 I recognize that good works is a discouraged  
18 ground for a downward departure, but the First Circuit  
19 recognized, most recently, perhaps in Thurston that a

20 departure for good works is permissible if they are  
21 exceptional good works. That's Thurston, 358 F. 3rd 51  
22 at 79. Moreover, even if a departure would not have  
23 been justified before Booker for good works, good works  
24 may justify a somewhat shorter sentence under the  
25 reasonableness standard that now applies, as was

18

1 explained in the later Thurston decision, I believe, at  
2 Pages 319 to 320.

3 The First Circuit characterized Mr. Thurston as a  
4 prominent, successful businessman who gave money. When  
5 I got that case on remand, that's not the way I saw  
6 Mr. Thurston, but that's a distinction that the First  
7 Circuit made, the distinction between giving money, if  
8 you have a lot of money, and devoting your time and  
9 talents, if you don't. Mr. Howe was not a financially  
10 successful businessman who gave money as many prominent  
11 businessmen do, Mr. Howe repeatedly devoted his time to  
12 people in need and did so to a truly exceptional  
13 degree.

14 The Sixth Circuit in that Tocco case, T-O-C-C-O,  
15 200 F. 3rd 401 at 434, you know, recognized the  
16 distinction that I've often made myself and that I think

17 the First Circuit was implicitly making in Thurston.  
18 They recognized that devoting time and talent may  
19 justify a downward departure, where merely giving money  
20 would not.

21 I don't think that I've ever encountered anybody  
22 who's devoted so much of his time and talent to helping  
23 others both on an organized, planned and spontaneous  
24 basis. This is something that I realize you've done,  
25 Mr. Howe, over 40 years. Those activities are

19

1 summarized in Mr. Howe's sentencing memorandum, which is  
2 Docket Number 149, and in the many letters on his  
3 behalf, both of which I hereby adopt.

4 But to just touch on it, I mean, Mr. Howe has, as  
5 part of the fabric of his life, you know, been helping  
6 really needy people. He takes a blind lady shopping.  
7 He has, on several occasions, served as a big brother.  
8 He volunteers regularly at Children's Hospital and gives  
9 an extraordinary amount of blood platelets, which are  
10 vitally needed, and I fully accept, save lives. And  
11 he's also been a good Samaritan. There were repeated  
12 instances of his seeing women with automobiles broken  
13 down on the highway, stopping to help them and, indeed,

14 turning around on the highway and finding them to help  
15 them, and things that just reflect a generous  
16 character.

17 I note that as soon as he was confronted by the  
18 FBI in this case, Mr. Howe cooperated, he didn't go get  
19 a lawyer, he didn't calculate how he should do it. It  
20 turned out to be too late for him to get a motion based  
21 on substantial assistance because Mr. Deveau had already  
22 been well advised, after he knew he was caught by CIT,  
23 to come in and cooperate. But the cooperation that you  
24 gave at the outset of your interaction with the FBI is  
25 part of your history and I've taken it into account.

20

1 These exceptional works justify a reasonable  
2 downward departure, but nevertheless a significant term  
3 of prison is still necessary. You have a prior  
4 conviction for fraud. If I read the presentence report  
5 right, you served a 6 month sentence. But that sentence  
6 or that conviction, at least, was not adequate to deter  
7 you from participating in this fraudulent scheme and  
8 doing it repeatedly. You repeatedly prepared false  
9 financial statements and tax returns that were essential  
10 to the fraud. You couldn't have succeeded unless you

11 did that. So you made an indispensable contribution,  
12 you played a serious role in the commission of a serious  
13 crime.

14 A prison sentence is necessary to deter you and  
15 others with similar accounting skills. I'm frankly  
16 concerned that you haven't gotten the message even yet.  
17 I recall your reluctance to plead guilty. I continued  
18 the matter, scheduled your trial, and you were reluctant  
19 to plead guilty -- and you had a right to a trial if you  
20 wanted one, but the evidence was particularly powerful  
21 concerning you. You don't -- you know, do you really  
22 have trouble understanding --

23 MR. HOWE: No, I don't. I understand, your  
24 Honor. In fact, you're absolutely right.

25 THE COURT: All right. And you know it's a

21

1 crime to do what you did.

2 And, you know, a prison term is necessary to send  
3 a message to you and to send a message so others and  
4 it's also necessary to recognize the seriousness of the  
5 offense. I mean, you, too, contributed. I don't think  
6 I'd have Mr. Sacco and Mr. Paradiso or Mr. Havey here  
7 unless somebody was willing to generate up these phony

8 documents and that was you.

9 I'm perplexed. And I recognize that you only got  
10 \$13,000 from this scheme.

11 MR. HOWE: I did one a month, your Honor, did  
12 one set of statements a month, your Honor.

13 THE COURT: Well, I understand that. This is  
14 what I've -- look, I've wrestled with it and this is  
15 where it comes out. You know, you got \$13,000 -- I've  
16 considered all of this. You cooperated immediately when  
17 you were confronted, you've done all these exceptional  
18 good works, and, on the other hand, you know, this is  
19 not the first time you've been in Federal court, being  
20 sentenced by a Federal judge, and there are people out  
21 millions and millions of dollars that they'll probably  
22 never recover.

23 So it's just something -- well, you bring into  
24 very sharp focus, you know, something I've seen over and  
25 over, but rarely, if ever, as starkly as in you, that

1 somehow the very same people, you know, who love their  
2 families, do wonderful things, also commit really  
3 serious crimes. And I know that I've considered all  
4 these things and imposed what I regard as the most



5 reasonable sentence in the circumstances. I recognize  
6 and regret that you're not going to be able to give  
7 platelets for several years and that may cost innocent  
8 people their lives. Sentences often impose high costs  
9 on innocent people, usually family members, and this is  
10 another case where it has evidently occurred.

11 You may be seated.

12 (Mr. Howe is seated.)

13 THE COURT: Mr. O'Neill, please, stand.

14 (Mr. O'Neill stands.)

15 THE COURT: Your sentence represents a  
16 variance under Section 3553. The government recommended  
17 a sentence of 37 months, the low end of the guidelines.  
18 Like Mr. Howe, you played an essential role in the  
19 scheme, you were the gatekeeper to CIT, but there are  
20 some extraordinary circumstances that make a lower  
21 sentence reasonable and most appropriate in your  
22 particular case.

23 One of the things the law requires me to consider  
24 is the nature and circumstances of the offense. You did  
25 not know of Deveau's fraudulent scheme with Mr. Maggio

1 at the inception of the fraudulent loan applications to

2 CIT. At that time you were already taking kickbacks on  
3 legitimate loans, that is, kickbacks from Mr. Deveau,  
4 and the way that was structured, evidently there was no  
5 loss to CIT because you jacked up the loan rate to the  
6 borrowers. When you realized that Mr. Deveau and  
7 Mr. Maggio were committing a fraud, though, you  
8 acquiesced in it, you joined the agreement. And I am  
9 persuaded that your motive in doing that was to keep the  
10 kickback scheme from being exposed. As I understand it,  
11 you made \$61,000 from the kickback scheme -- well, maybe  
12 \$60,000 from the kickback scheme, but nothing from the  
13 fraud charged in this case, except perhaps the \$1,000  
14 Mr. Maggio gave you when you came to Boston.

15 I've taken into account your history and  
16 characteristics. I understand that you grew up in a  
17 very poor family. That at a young age you started  
18 taking financial responsibility for your parents and  
19 your siblings by working hard. I've taken into account  
20 that you've been exceptionally supportive of your  
21 brother-in-law and sister-in-law when they've had  
22 extraordinary problems and I recognize you're active in  
23 the community, but these things alone would not be  
24 enough, in my view. If we were sitting here several

25 years ago before courts were given more flexibility by

24

1 this Booker decision, it would not be, alone, enough to  
2 justify a downward departure for good works. They do,  
3 however, definitely confer my sense that you don't need  
4 to be deterred or rehabilitated. I'm satisfied that  
5 you're generally and deeply ashamed and contrite and  
6 that you're not going to commit any crimes like this  
7 again.

8 You, also, I recognize, tried to cooperate. It  
9 was too late. You and Mr. Deveau should have realized  
10 it at about the same time, to be colloquial, that "The  
11 jig was up," but he got into the government first. It's  
12 somewhat unfair that Mr. Deveau gets a lesser sentence  
13 than you, but not enough to cause me to reduce your  
14 sentence further because of the sentence imposed on  
15 him. That has not influenced my decision with regard to  
16 you.

17 I'm giving you 21 months in prison. I think it's  
18 a significant term in prison. It's necessary to  
19 recognize the seriousness of the offense when somebody  
20 in a position of responsibility in a financial  
21 organization violates that responsibility, it's serious

22 and people need to be discouraged.

23 I'll tell you one thing that has influenced me and

24 that is that I've ordered you to pay restitution of

25 \$1,813,200. You only earned \$61,000 or maybe -- no more

25

1 than \$61,000 from this scheme, but somehow, evidently

2 legitimately, you've earned enough money, you've saved

3 enough money to pay this restitution or a substantial

4 part of it, I expect. You're going to suffer a very

5 major financial penalty. As I've said -- and that's

6 part of the penalty here. It's real and it's part of

7 the penalty imposed to recognize the seriousness of the

8 offense. I expect that as people learn about this, it's

9 going to discourage them, it's going to deter them.

10 They're going to think, "Even if I make \$60,000, I may

11 end of owing or having to pay, really pay, almost \$2

12 million."

13 So I find that the degree of the departure, or

14 variance in this case, to be precise, is reasonable.

15 After Mr. Maggio and Mr. Deveau, you and Mr. Howe were

16 the most culpable, you played comparable roles, and I

17 have imposed the sentence that I think is most

18 reasonable in view of all the complex and competing

19 circumstances.

20 You may be seated.

21 (Mr. O'Neill is seated.)

22 THE COURT: Mr. Paradiso, your sentence --

23 please stand.

24 (Mr. Paradiso stands.)

25 THE COURT: Your sentence of a year and a day

26

1 is a downward departure, although, if necessary, I would  
2 have exercised my discretion under Booker to impose the  
3 same sentence. The guidelines for you are 24 to 30  
4 months. The guideline range was driven by the amount of  
5 the loss involved, almost \$2 million has been attributed  
6 to you. However, as the First Circuit described in  
7 Shattuck, 961 F. 2nd 1012 at 1016 to 1017, and Gregorio,  
8 956 F. 2nd 341 at 346, Section 2(f)(1.1)(b)(1) of the  
9 guidelines presumes that the defendant alone is  
10 responsible for the entire amount of the loss. The  
11 Application 8 note of the November 19th, 1998 guidelines  
12 that apply in this case states that: "In some cases the  
13 amount of the loss may significantly overstate the  
14 seriousness of the defendant's conduct. Where this  
15 occurs, a downward departure may be warranted."

16 Shattuck and Gregorio referred to this note.  
17 This is an encouraged departure, in the  
18 appropriate case, and I find that this is such a case.  
19 I find that Mr. Paradiso is a person of limited  
20 education and intelligence, he comes from a religious  
21 family, he has no criminal history. Mr. Maggio  
22 approached him when he was out of work and injured.  
23 Mr. Maggio proposed borrowing Mr. Paradiso's credit in  
24 return for payment to Mr. Paradiso of \$100 per truck  
25 purchased and ultimately part of what was supposed to be

27

1 a successful business. There's no way that Mr. Paradiso  
2 would have committed a crime at all and certainly no way  
3 he would have been able to defraud anyone of large  
4 amounts of money if he hadn't been influenced by  
5 Mr. Maggio, and this alone justifies a reasonable  
6 downward departure.

7 If a variance is necessary, I would say that  
8 Mr. Deveau's sentence would further justify a reduction  
9 for Mr. Paradiso and Mr. Sacco. It would also justify a  
10 variance for Mr. Havey, if that were feasible, which  
11 it's not, for reasons I'll describe.

12 I fully recognize that the aim of the guidelines

13 is to diminish unwarranted national disparity. However,  
14 in *Thurston*, 456 F. 3d 211 at 219, 220, earlier this  
15 year the First Circuit recognized that a wide divergence  
16 between the sentence imposed on co-defendants could  
17 injure the public's respect for the law and therefore  
18 justify a reasonable variance from a guideline  
19 sentence. Um, respect for the law is one of the Section  
20 3553(a) factors.

21 In this case, Mr. Deveau was, with Maggio, one of  
22 the masterminds of the scheme. His company got \$11  
23 million worth of business and Mr. Deveau undoubtedly  
24 richly profited from that. He cooperated only after he  
25 knew that his scheme was discovered by CIT. The

28

1 government agreed that he could be removed from this  
2 case, pursuant to Rule 20, and have his case transferred  
3 to New York.

4 He was immunized. The government made a motion  
5 based on his substantial assistance. The government did  
6 not advocate, as aggressively as it advocated for most  
7 of the defendants in this case, that the scheme Deveau  
8 was in involved sophisticated means, so he started with  
9 guidelines that were improperly calculated too low in my

10 view and he got a year and a day, which is actually less  
11 time served than a year, because if he behaved, he would  
12 get 15 percent off for good time. So he would serve  
13 about 10-plus months.

14 I find it would be utterly unjust for a virtual  
15 dupe like Mr. Paradiso, who, without a lawyer,  
16 cooperated immediately, but he could not get a  
17 substantial assistance motion because he couldn't  
18 assist, he didn't know anything of value to the  
19 government, to get twice as long a sentence, twice as  
20 much time as Mr. Deveau got.

21 I note that Mr. Paradiso profited from this scheme  
22 somewhat. He got paid something and his credit cards  
23 were paid off. So he got about \$37,000 worth of benefit  
24 from this scheme. I don't find that it's been proven  
25 that he did a lot of legitimate work for Mr. Maggio and

1 he did recruit others, although I found he wasn't a  
2 supervisor or organizer, and he signed more than the  
3 routine documents. So some time in prison is necessary  
4 to recognize the seriousness of the offense and to deter  
5 other people. And I find that, in your case, one year  
6 and one day is the most appropriate sentence.



7 You may be seated.

8 (Mr. Paradiso is seated.)

9 THE COURT: Mr. Sacco, please stand.

10 (Mr. Sacco stands.)

11 THE COURT: Mr. Sacco has been sentenced only  
12 to probation. I've departed, with regard to Mr. Sacco,  
13 primarily because the amount of the loss significantly  
14 overstates the seriousness of his conduct, something  
15 that's an encouraged departure in proper cases. I would  
16 also vary, if necessary, with regard to Mr. Sacco. The  
17 contrast between Mr. Sacco and Mr. Deveau is even more  
18 stark than the contrast between Mr. Paradiso and  
19 Mr. Deveau.

20 The record indicates that at the time Mr. Paradiso  
21 recruited him, Mr. Sacco was -- his mother, with whom he  
22 was very close, was in a coma. Mr. Maggio told him that  
23 -- if Mr. Sacco, in effect, lent him Mr. Sacco's credit,  
24 Mr. Sacco would get a percentage of a legitimate  
25 business. Mr. Sacco saw that business was being done.

1 So working for Mr. Maggio provided flexible hours and  
2 permitted Mr. Sacco to be more attentive to his mother  
3 and Mr. Sacco really did work full time in Mr. Maggio's

4 businesses. He was recruited at a time when he was very  
5 vulnerable. I find there's no way Mr. Sacco would have  
6 committed a crime, let alone defrauded anyone of  
7 hundreds of thousands of dollars, without Mr. Maggio.

8 I've had occasion to watch Mr. Sacco over -- now  
9 of four days. I find that he's genuinely and  
10 extraordinarily contrite. He seems to have been moving  
11 between crying and praying through most of these  
12 proceedings. He could barely speak when it came time to  
13 -- when it came his time to speak on Friday. Mr. Sacco,  
14 when confronted, cooperated immediately without a  
15 lawyer. He wasn't advised to do so like Mr. Deveau. It  
16 wasn't a calculated decision to try to influence his  
17 sentence. It would greatly injure the respect to the  
18 administration of justice, I find, if he served longer  
19 than Mr. Deveau and I find that no time is necessary to  
20 deter him or to rehabilitate him.

21 This case has been hanging over Mr. Sacco and his  
22 co-defendants for five or six years. Mr. Sacco, I view,  
23 is almost an unwitting participant in this offense. I'm  
24 not suggesting there wasn't a proper basis for his  
25 guilty plea, but I do have the sense that if this case

1 went to trial, he would have had a real shot of being  
2 acquitted. I, as I've said to the others, do find white  
3 collar crime generally, and this crime particularly, to  
4 be a very serious offense and usually that influences me  
5 to go to the jail end, if there's a reasonable range for  
6 sentences, but those interests of the seriousness of the  
7 offense and in deterring others do not outweigh the  
8 factors in favor of a probationary sentence for  
9 Mr. Sacco.

10 And I then I considered, "Well, maybe I ought to  
11 make part of that probationary period home confinement,  
12 maybe it would look better to somebody," but it's just  
13 not necessary or appropriate with regard to Mr. Sacco.  
14 So he's going to have to pay the restitution, or try to,  
15 and he's going to have this hanging over him. So those  
16 are the reasons for the sentence with regard to  
17 Mr. Sacco.

18 You may be seated.

19 (Mr. Sacco is seated.)

20 THE COURT: Mr. Havey.

21 (Mr. Havey stands.)

22 THE COURT: The factors that influenced me to  
23 reduce Mr. Paradiso's sentence and Mr. Sacco's sentence,

24 to some extent, also exist in your case. That you were  
25 dragged into something that -- from a Jiffy Lube, that

32

1 was way over your head and something that you never  
2 could have got involved in on your own. And I would  
3 have imposed a shorter sentence, if it's feasible, but  
4 you now have already served virtually a 15 month  
5 sentence, and you did that because you weren't able to  
6 obey the conditions of your pretrial release because  
7 you've got a drug addiction.

8 And part of the reason I'm not just giving you a  
9 sentence of time served, but making you serve another  
10 couple weeks is to give the Probation Department a  
11 chance to arrange a program for you, when you get out,  
12 because I don't want to see you again. There should be  
13 consideration given to whether Mr. Havey is a candidate  
14 for our drug court. Maybe it's not necessary, but maybe  
15 it is. But, you know, whether it was a drug problem  
16 that caused you to be greedy or whether you were just  
17 dumb like some of your co-defendants, this may turn out  
18 to have actually been a good opportunity for you. I  
19 know those drug habits are very hard for anybody to beat  
20 on his own.

21 And you're going to get out in a couple of weeks  
22 and you're going to be at a cross-roads. You talked to  
23 me, I think, very sincerely on Friday about how much  
24 you, you know, miss your children. You really have  
25 something to go back to, but if you don't beat this drug

33

1 problem, you're not going to just lose your liberty,  
2 you're going to lose your family.

3 When you get out, you won't be on your own. The  
4 Probation Department will be there to provide a partner  
5 for you. You're a good partner. If you really work at  
6 it, it's going to give you your best chance not to be  
7 back in front of me, which is someplace you don't want  
8 to be again. All right.

9 Now -- you may be seated.

10 (Mr. Havey is seated.)

11 THE COURT: Mr. Howe, Mr. O'Neill,  
12 Mr. Paradiso are going to be incarcerated. Mr. Maggio  
13 already is. Unless the government has a compelling  
14 objection, if I'm requested, I'll give them until  
15 January 8th at 12:00 noon to self report.

16 MR. WILD: No objection.

17 MR. WEINSTEIN: I have such a request for

18 Mr. Howe.

19 THE COURT: It's granted. Mr. Oteri, do you  
20 make the same request?

21 MR. OTERI: I do, your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Mr. Lopez?

23 MR. LOPEZ: Yes, your Honor.

24 THE COURT: January 8th at 12:00 noon. The  
25 defendants who are released will have their release

34

1 continue on the same conditions. More specifically,  
2 that's Mr. Paradiso, Mr. Howe and Mr. O'Neill.

3 Now, is there anything else in this matter for  
4 today?

5 MR. OTERI: Your Honor -- oh, I'm sorry.

6 MR. MERBERG: If I may, your Honor, there are  
7 three matters for Mr. Maggio. The first is, he did  
8 finish his sentence imposed by Judge Zobel in early  
9 October. I'm assuming that his sentence is not going to  
10 be nunc pro tunc, it would be at least from the day he  
11 would have been released from his last sentence.

12 THE COURT: That's my understanding. I think  
13 the Bureau of Prisons in the first instance has to make  
14 that calculation. But if they don't give Mr. Maggio

15 credit for the time he's been serving since the  
16 expiration of Judge Zobel's sentence, you could come  
17 back to me.

18 MR. MERBERG: And just for the record, he  
19 lost, of course, his opportunity to have some -- either  
20 home confinement or some other component at the end of  
21 his last sentence, because of this case. I understand  
22 that doesn't necessarily make any difference today.

23 The second thing is I'd ask the Court to  
24 recommend, as Judge Zobel did, that he participate in  
25 the 500 hour drug program. It was recommended by Judge

35

1 Zobel. He was taken to the Buttner Medical Facility  
2 because he was having seizures and he was never able to  
3 take that program. And, in essence, now it has  
4 expired.

5 And lastly, and as part of the same request, I  
6 would ask the Court to recommend that he be returned to  
7 the medical facility at Buttner, because he was being  
8 treated there for being bipolar and he was being treated  
9 there for his seizures.

10 THE COURT: Um, let's see. Judge Zobel  
11 recommended the 500 hour drug treatment?

12 MR. MERBERG: She did, your Honor.

13 PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, your Honor.

14 THE COURT: All right. Well, I will join in

15 that or reiterate the 500 hour drug treatment and

16 treatment for the bipolar disorder. Whether that

17 requires Buttner or it could be properly addressed

18 someplace else, I've leave to the Bureau of Prisons.

19 MR. MERBERG: I would ask the Court just to

20 note as a recommendation that the Court would be willing

21 that he be returned to Buttner. He was in a treatment

22 program there.

23 THE COURT: He was brought here from a

24 treatment program at Buttner?

25 MR. MERBERG: That's correct.

36

1 THE COURT: All right. I'll recommend his

2 return to Buttner. I will also say that you ought to

3 talk to the Bureau of Prisons yourself, because my

4 colleagues and I have noted that the Bureau of Prisons

5 has been increasingly unresponsive to our

6 recommendations. It's something we intend to address on

7 an institutional basis. But I don't think you can rely

8 on our recommendations being followed.



9 MR. MERBERG: And the last matter is the one  
10 that your Honor had mentioned at the beginning of the  
11 sentencing hearing as it related to a detainer that was  
12 pending in the first case that --

13 THE COURT: Yeah, I'm going to ask -- I don't  
14 even know what that detainer is. I've got 8 feet worth  
15 of papers. The Probation Department should either move  
16 by December 1st for me to act on that violation of  
17 supervised release or, in consultation with the  
18 government, ask me to withdraw it. Because frankly --  
19 and now it's 6, 7 years later, I think. I've sentenced  
20 the defendant. I doubt I would give him any additional  
21 time.

22 MR. WILD: May I have a moment with Probation,  
23 your Honor?

24 THE COURT: Sure.

25 (Pause.)

37

1 MR. WILD: Thank you, your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Okay. If that's a loose end, that  
3 will get resolved in the next couple of weeks.

4 MR. WILD: Your Honor, for the benefit of all,  
5 the government's position on that would be, as the Court

6 would expect, I think, that if Probation is willing to  
7 withdraw that petition, the government has no objection.

8 THE COURT: Thank you.

9 MR. MERBERG: Can that be done today on the  
10 record unless Probation has an objection?

11 THE COURT: No, because I think it was  
12 Mr. Buckley's colleague who was encouraging me to act on  
13 it, so I think he should go back and have an opportunity  
14 to consult everybody in his office who ought to be  
15 consulted, so they can develop and consider an  
16 institutional response.

17 MR. MERBERG: Thank you, your Honor.

18 MR. WEINSTEIN: With respect to Mr. Howe, your  
19 Honor, I would ask that you receive from us, not later  
20 than December 1st, a request for a judicial  
21 recommendation for designation to the Bureau of  
22 Prisons. It's something that's difficult to explore in  
23 the degree of precision that I would otherwise have  
24 preferred, and so the final judgment is not entered  
25 until then. I know that it is an increasing challenge

1 for judges in this District, and perhaps elsewhere, to  
2 have their recommendations followed, but we would just

3 like to have them -- and I'll make that in a writing not  
4 later than a week from Friday.

5 THE COURT: Okay. As I think you've heard me  
6 say before, in contrast to some of my colleagues, it's  
7 my general policy not to make a recommendation because  
8 it's my understanding that the Bureau of Prisons' own  
9 policies provide that defendants will be incarcerated at  
10 the facility with the proper security designation,  
11 security level that's nearest to their home. But I will  
12 give you until December 1 to make that filing. A copy  
13 should be filed with the government and Probation, if  
14 you make a request.

15 MR. OTERI: Your Honor, the same request on  
16 behalf of my client, Mr. O'Neill. If you would think of  
17 either Fairington, New Jersey or Skyco, Pennsylvania,  
18 both are within driving distance of his home.

19 THE COURT: Well, as I say, it's -- why don't  
20 you put something in writing and I'll consider it.

21 MR. LOPEZ: Your Honor, may I have the same  
22 amount of time?

23 THE COURT: Yes.

24 MR. LOPEZ: Thank you.

25 MR. WILD: I'll advise the Court that the

1 Government will have no objection to the designation by  
2 the defendants. That's frankly not a concern of the  
3 government.

4 THE COURT: Okay. All right.

5 MR. WEINSTEIN: I'm assuming, Judge, that that  
6 will be an electronic filing with a specific copy to  
7 Probation?

8 THE COURT: Yes. All right.

9 Is there anything further in this matter for  
10 today?

11 MR. WILD: No, your Honor.

12 THE COURT: All right. This is -- I'm sorry.  
13 This has taken a long time because it's been important  
14 to everybody involved, it's been challenging, the  
15 adversary system has worked very effectively here from  
16 my perspective. The counselors should be commended for  
17 that.

18 The Court is in recess.

19 (Ends, 4:10 p.m.)

20

21

22

23

24

25

40

1           C E R T I F I C A T E

2

3

4

5           I, RICHARD H. ROMANOW, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER,

6 do hereby certify that the foregoing record is a true

7 and accurate transcription of my stenographic notes,

8 before Chief Judge Mark L. Wolf, on Monday, November 20,

9 2006, to the best of my skill and ability.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16           \_\_\_\_\_  
RICHARD H. ROMANOW

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25